

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,394	SJOEHOLM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656	

All Participants:

(1) Maryam Monshipouri.

(2) Mr. Elias Lambiris.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112 second

Claims discussed:
34, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
the examiner requested amending the phrase "vegetable protein" in claims 31 and 34. In response, Mr. Lambiris agreed to amend said claims and gave the examiner authority to amend said claims in an EX. amendment. Mr. Lambiris also mentioned that he would file an IDS shortly to be considred before allowance.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

